

Name:

Professor:

Course:

Date:

### Peer Edit Argument

Introductory paragraph determines the topic, gives the definition of various terms, and attracts the reader's attention into the essay world. The introductory topic should first of all attract the reader's attention and make the reader understand what the topic is all about through defining the definition of different terms and explaining further what the topic entails. This will enable the reader to understand and comprehend on the topic that will be under discussion. Thesis statement, which is normally placed at the end of the introductory paragraph, should encompass the two contrasting voices. Thesis statement is always the last sentence in the introductory paragraph and should always tell the reader what the essay is going to talk about and list all the important points that are to be discussed thereafter. It should also bring the concept of two opposing voices especially when the essay is an argumentative one.

In the body paragraph, the first paragraph starts with the main point that was first listed in the thesis statement. In an argumentative essay, the two contrasting voices should always take center stage. As listed in the thesis statement, the first point should start on the first paragraph to facilitate the flow of the points. This point should bring an element of argument, that is, contain two opposing voices. Both points should try to balance so as to make the argument interesting. The same first paragraph should also provide full bridge to the hypothesis. One should always ask himself or herself whether element of assumption is provided in full bridge in this paragraph.

There should be two opposing sides with different point of view. Always a healthy argument should always have a concrete ending and in as much as there will be two opposing sides, a better conclusion should be arrived at. This will make the essay to bring some sense. Assumption's voice should bring points that are identifiable in regards to the subject. In an argumentative essay, voice of assumption on peer group should try to bring identifiable points in regards to the subject. This will ensure the two sides are not out of the context. Despite of the argument, the two sides should always bring an argument that is relevant to the topic of discussion so that it remains a healthy discussion.

Subsequent paragraph start with the point that was following the first point from the thesis statement. For the flow of an essay, there is always a protocol that should be taken into consideration. The way in which the points are listed in the thesis statement should always be followed so that the reader can comprehend and understand what he Or she is reading. The topic should always have two opposing voices on the peer edit so that it can bring the element of argument in the essay.

Whenever the two opposing voices debate, they should endeavor to be within the topic of discussion to avoid irrelevancy. The assumption's reasoning corresponds with the second body paragraph point-by-point? In the reasoning of the assumption, one should always ask himself whether the reasoning responds with the second body paragraph point-by-point or not? The assumption made should be relevant to the topic of discussion and should also have two opposing sides. It should take the second paragraph and be discussed in length.

Researched data that is employed in the second body paragraph should be cited in the parenthetic reference. The researched data that was employed in the second paragraph should also be argued on. Argument should be whether the data should be cited in the parenthetic reference or not? The opposing side should object the statement while the proposing side should support the motion. According to me, researched data should be cited in the

parenthetical reference so that the reader can understand where the data came from. Most people guess statistical data giving their readers a wrong impression about where the research was extracted. To avoid ambiguity, data should be well cited so that in case the reader is in doubt, can always refer. The argument should bring some logics (No hasty generalizations, hidden assumptions, circular reasoning, or flawed reasoning). Most people would always like to generalize their opinion thus making the argument not to make sense. Assumptions made on the essay should not be hidden so that the opposing sides can know the proposing side's opinion and vice versa. Circular or flawed reasoning should also be avoided to enhance the logics of the essay. When an argument for instance on peer influence brings some logics, then the essay attracts the reader's attention making it a complete essay. On the other side, opposing voice should also bring their points on the discussion on hasty generalizations, hidden assumptions, circular or flawed reasoning should be practiced or not.

Effective use of emotional appeal should be included in the essay. In an argumentative essay, affectivity of the use of emotional appeal should also be discussed whether it should be included in the essay or not. The voice that supports the motion should come up with facts that support his or her stand and the opposing side should also have reasons why he or she is opposing the move. According to me, effective use of emotional appeal should be included in the essay as to capture the reader's attention. When an essay captures a reader's attention, it becomes so interesting and many people would want to read about it.

In conclusion, the concluding paragraph should reiterate the thesis, by fresh words?

Always the concluding statement should encompass all the points that were listed in the thesis statement and give an opinion on each of them. A concluding paragraph should analyze the two opposing voices carefully and give an opinion on which side has carried the day. Its opinion should not be biased and should also have supporting evidences why it has

taken the preferred side. The concluding paragraph should persuasively reaffirm the argument. Question should also be asked whether the concluding paragraph persuasively reaffirm the argument? From the concluding paragraph, one should be able to give a summary on whether the argument was persuasive or not. The two opposing voices should be carefully analyzed to give a concrete answer. A good concluding paragraph should be able to reaffirm whether the argumentative essay was persuasive or not. The audience should have something to say concerning the importance of the topic of discussion. Audience should be given chance to make their conclusion based on the topic that was been discussed.

Sometimes audience becomes the judge of the argument and their opinion is always relevant for the essay. Audience are always said to be neutral and do not take stand when the debate is being carried out. Their opinion is very important since it helps judges to come up with a better judgment for the argument. A complete paper should not miss work-cited part. This normally helps the readers to be able to refer arguments on the paper. Most people always like guessing facts and when told to ascertain their facts, they are always unable. So it is very important for references to be provided to make the work look meaningful.

Finally I would like to say that, in an argumentative essay, it is always important to have two opposing voices so that the essay is able to make sense. The two sides should have facts for supporting their side, which is within the context of discussion. The argument should also bring some logics and be persuasive so that it can attract the reader's attention. For an effective argument, use of emotional appeal should also be considered so that the audience can concentrate on the essay and come up with their opinion. Good judgment should be done finally to enable the two sides to know who has carried the day.

Works cited

- Bostian, Patricia, and Harry L. Phillips. *The Practical Argument*. Independence, Ky: Heinle & Heinle, 2011. Print.
- Burak, Kathryn. *Writing in the Works*. Boston: Wadsworth/Cengage Learning, 2010. Print.
- Ferris, Dana. *Treatment of Error in Second Language Student Writing*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2011. Print.
- Shatz, David. *Peer Review: A Critical Inquiry*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & littlefield publishers, 2004. Print.
- Whitfield, Meryn. *Targeting Writing Across the Curriculum: Intergrating English with Society and Environment/science*. Glebe: Blake Education, 2001. Print.